

# MidBedsDistrictCouncil

# LAQMDetailedAssessment

TechnicalReportNo:ADIX0562/BV/AQ

June2008

All maps in this document are reproduced from Ordna permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Cont Office©Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction leadtoprosecutionorcivilproceedings.

MidBedfordshireDistrictCouncil.100019740.2008.



#### DOCUMENTINFORMATIONANDCONTROLSHEET

ReportTitle: MidBedsDistrictCouncil–LAQMDet ailedAssessment

ReportRef: ADIX0562/BV/AQ

#### **Client:**

EnvironmentalServices	ProjectManager	AlanStone
MidBedsDistrictCouncil	Tel:	01462611388
PrioryHouse	Fax:	
MonksWalk		
Chicksands		
Shefford		
Beds.SG175TQ.		

#### EnvironmentalConsultant:

BureauVeritas Parklands 825AWilmslowRoad Didsbury Manchester	ProjectManager Tel: Fax:	SharonAtkins 01614464769
M202RE		

ProjectTeam	SharonAtkins	PrincipalAuthor	Sharon	Atkins

# DocumentStatusandApprovalSchedule

Issue	Status	Description	Preparedandauthorisedby: SharonAtkins PrincipalConsultant Signed/Dated
1	Draft Report	IssuedtoclientbyEmail	Sham Alls 14/05/08
2	Final Report	IssuedtoclientbyEmail andhardcopy	Show Alles 23/06/08



# CONTENTS

E	xecu	tiveSumn	nary	1
1	Int	roductior	۱	2
	1.1	ProjectBa	ackground	2
	1.2 1.2 1.2	Legislativ 2.1 AirQu 2.2 Loca	eBackground JalityStrategyObjectives IAirQualityManagement	2 2 3
	1.3	Summary	voftheReviewandAssessmentbyMidBed	sDistrictCouncil5
	1.4	Scopean	dMethodologyoftheDetailedAssessmen t	5
2	Ba	selineInfo	ormation	6
	2.1	TrafficDa	ta	6
	2.2	AirQuality	/Monitoring	7
	2.3	Backgrou	IndConcentrations	9
3	Di	spersion	lodellingMethodology	10
	3.1	Meteorol	ogicalData	10
	3.2	ModelSet	tup	11
	3.3	Emission	sFactors	11
	3.4	Backgrou	IndConcentrations	12
	3.5	ModelInp	utParameters	
	3.6	ModelOu	tput	
	3.7	ModelVe	rification	12
4	Re	esults		14
5	Co	onclusion	sandRecommendations	15
	5.1	Recomm	endations	15
6	Ap	pendices		16
		ENDIX1	Model//erification(2007)	16
	APP	ENDIX2	ADMS-RoadsModelledResultsinµg/m <sup>3</sup> a	atSpecificReceptorLocations
	APP	ENDIX3	MappedContoursofADMS-RoadsModelled	Resultsinµg/m <sup>3</sup> 27



#### DISCLAIMER

Bureau Veritas UKLimited completed this Report on the basis of a defined programme of work and terms and conditions agreed with the Client. We confirm that in preparing this Report, we have exercised all reasonables kill and care, taking int account the project objectives, the agreed scope of work, prevailing site conditions and the degree of manpower and resources allocated to the project.

0

Bureau Veritas UK parties whatsoever, following the issue of the Report, for any matters arising outside the agreed scope of the work.

This Report is issued in confidence to the Client and Bureau Veritas UK Limited has no responsibility to any third parties to whom this Report may be circulated, in part or in full, and any such parties rely on the contents of the report solely at the irownrisk.

Unless specifically assigned or transferred within the terms of the agreement, the consultant asserts and retains all Copyright, and other Intellectual Property Rights, in and over the Reportanditscontents.

Anyquestions or matters arising from this Report should be addressed in the first instance to the ProjectManager.



# EXECUTIVESUMMARY

Part IV of the Environment Act 1995 places a statut ory duty on local authorities to review and assess the air quality within their area. For localauthoritiesthathaveidentifiedareas, within their Annual Progress Reports, where there i s a potential risk of exceedence of Air Quality Strategy (AQS) objectives a Detailed Assess ment is required. This Detailed Assessment is being undertaken, following the asses sment of monitoring results for 2007 thatindicatedariskofexceedencesofairquality objectivesfornitrogendioxide(NO <sub>2</sub>)and particulate matter (PM<sub>10</sub>) in the vicinity of the A1 Sandy roundabout, as pa rt of the third round of review and assessment of air quality. The assessment has been undertaken in accordancewiththeTechnicalGuidanceLAQM.TG(03)

The conclusions of the first round of local air qua in 1998, were that all air quality objectives were

lityreviewandassessment, commencing expected to be met.

FollowingtheoutcomeofthesecondroundUpdating andScreeningAssessment(USA)in ailedAssessmentin2004for:

- NO<sub>2</sub>andPM <sub>10</sub>aroundtheSandyroundaboutontheA1duetoemiss ionsfromtraffic and;
- Stewartbybrickworksduetohighsulphurdioxideco ncentrations.

This resulted in an Air Quality Management Area (AQ MA) being declared in 2005 in the vicinity of the Stewart by brickworks that we repred icted not to meet the 15 minute objective for sulphur dioxide. There was no requirement to de roundabout at the A1 Sandy set of the set of the

The third round USA concluded that all objectives w AQMA. A recommendation was made to continue to moni A1Sandyroundabout.

Detaileddispersion modelling has been undertaken u sing the ADMS-Roads 2.3 dispersion model. NO 2 and PM 10 concentrations have been modelled at specific rece ptors along the A1 Sandy, in particular adjacent to the junction wi the B1042 and A603 and in the vicinity of the monitoring locations where exceedences have verified against the continuous monitor (for PM 10) and NO 2 diffusion tube sites in the assessmentarea.

Based on this detailed assessment and review of the m onitoring data within the areas under assessment, the following recommendatio ns are made for Mid Beds DistrictCouncil:

- Toconsider declaration of an AirQualityManagemen tArea in the vicinity of the A1 Sandy, on the basis of NO 2, where exceedences of the annual mean objective ar e predicted a trelevant receptor locations;
- TocontinuemonitoringNO 2 and PM 10 atthecurrentmonitoringlocations in order to ensure that any future changes in air quality are d etected, notably locations representative of relevant exposure, i.e. atthefa çade of residential properties.

# 1.1 ProjectBackground

Bureau Veritas UK Limited has been commissioned by undertake this Detailed Assessment based on the inf authority.

This Detailed Assessment for nitrogen dioxide (NO followingtheconclusionsoftheAPRundertakenin and assessment of airquality. The 2007 APR identif of the A1S and yround about.

# 1.2 LegislativeBackground

# 1.2.1 AirQualityStrategyObjectives

The latest Air Quality Strategy (AQS) released in J strategic framework for air quality management int hele standards and objectives established by the Governm objectives for ten pollutants (benzene, 1,3-butadie ne dioxide, sulphur dioxide particulates - PM 10 and PM 2.5 within the Air Quality Strategy based on The Air Qu 2007. The Objectives setout in the AQS for the pr in Table 1.1.

The Air Quality Standards (England) Regulations 200 2007. This brings together in one statutory instrum fulfil separate EU Daughter Directives through a si which is fully aligned with proposed new EU Air Qua Europe). The Regulations 2007 include objectives fo are required to be assessed by member states in res QualityDaughterDirective (CAFE), however, the AQS pollutants and local authorities are not currently EnvironmentAct 1995 gives local authorities duties secure improvements in air quality, particularly at each local authority within the UK to periodically and determine whether the prescribed objectives are future year.

The AQS objectives take into account EU Directives states are legally required to achieve by their tar equal to, ormore stringent than, the EU limit valu quality standards that are weaker than the EU Limit

ThelocationswheretheAQSobjectivesapplyarede fi buildings or other natural or manufactured structur members of the public are regularly present and mig exposed to pollutant concentrations over the releva objective.Typicallytheseincluderesidentialpro perties Mid Beds District Council to ormation provided by the local

<sub>2</sub>) and particulates (PM <sub>10</sub>) is required 2007aspartofthethirdroundofreview iedpotentialexceedencesinthevicinity

sed in J uly 2007 provides the over-arching entint heUKand contains national air quality e Governm ent to protect human health. The butadie ne, carbon monoxide, lead, nitrogen 10 and PM 2.5- and ozone) have been prescribed ality Standards (England) Regulations otection of human health are presented

> 7 came into force on 15 <sup>th</sup> February ent the Government's requirements to ngle consolidated statutory instrument, lity Directive (CAFE – Clean Air For rArsenic, CadmiumandNickel. These ponse to the proposed new EU Air
> doesnotcontainobjectivesforthese required to assess against these. The andresponsibilitiesthataredesignedto thelocallevel. PartIVoftheActrequires review and assess air quality in its area, likely to be achieved by the relevant

that set limit values which member get dates. The UK's AQS objectives are es (noMember Statemay promulgate air Values).

finedintheAQSaslocationsoutside es above or below ground where nig ht reasonably be expected to be eva nt averaging period of the AQS pertiesandschools/carehomesforlonger period (i.e. annual mean) pollutant objectives and pollutant objectives.

high streets for short-term (i.e. 1-hour)

Thisdetailedassessmentconsidersthenitrogendio

xideandPM 10 objectives.

nd

# Table1-1-AQSObjectivesinRegulationsforEngla

Pollutant	Objective	Concentration measured as	Datetobeachieved byandmaintained thereafter
Benzene 16.25 μg/m <sup>3</sup>		runningannualmean 3	1stDecember2003
	5 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	runningannualmean 3	1stDecember2010
1,3-Butadiene	2.25 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	runningannualmean 3	1stDecember2003
Carbon monoxide	10mg/m <sup>3</sup>	maximumdaily running8hour mean	31stDecember2003
Lead	0.5 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	annualmean	31stDecember2004
	0.25 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	annualmean	31stDecember2008
Nitrogen	200 μg/m <sup>3</sup> , not to be exceeded morethan18timesayear	hourlymean	31stDecember2005
uloxide	40 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	annualmean	31stDecember2005
Particles(PM 10)	50 μg/m <sup>3</sup> , not to be exceeded morethan35timesayear	24hourmean	31stDecember2004
	40 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	annualmean	31stDecember2004
Particles	25 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	Annualmean	2020
(PM <sub>2.5</sub> ) <sup>a</sup>	Targetof15%reduction inconcentrationsaturban background <sup>1</sup>	annualmean	In urban areas between 2010and2020
	266 μg/m <sup>3</sup> , not to be exceeded morethan35timesayear	15minutemean 3	1stDecember2005
Sulphurdioxide	350 μg/m <sup>3</sup> , not to be exceeded morethan24timesayear	hourlymean	31stDecember2004
	125 μg/m <sup>3</sup> , not to be exceeded morethan3timesayear	24hourmean 3	1stDecember2004
Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons <sup>a</sup>	0.25ng/m <sup>3</sup> B(a)P <sup>2</sup>	Annualaverage	31stDecember2010
Ozone <sup>a</sup>	100 μg/m <sup>3</sup> , not to be exceeded morethan10timesayear	8hourmean 3	1December2005

<sup>a</sup>NotprescribedforLocalAirQualityManagement

# 1.2.2 LocalAirQualityManagement

Part IV of the Environment Act places a statutory d 'reviewandassess'theairquality within theirar (LAQM) regime. This involves consideration of prese the AQS objectives prescribed within the AirQualit

n

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>25 µg/m<sup>3</sup>isaconcentrationcapcombinedwith15%reductio

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Benzo(a)Pyrene



and Assessment process finds that pollutant concent objectives by their target dates in areas where the Authority are required to declare an Air Quality Ma 83(1) of the Environment Act 1995. The areas in whi defined in the AQS as locations outside buildingso above or below ground where members of the public a reasonably be expected to be exposed to pollutant c averaging periodof the AQS objective.

Guidelines for the 'Review and Assessment' of local air quality were first published in the 1997 National Air Quality Strategy (NAQS) <sup>3</sup> along with associated policy guidance and technical guidance. The First Round of Review and A ssessment recommended that local authorities fulfil their statutory duty under the L assessment, increasing indetail at each stage.

In 2000, Government reviewed the NAQS and published the revised AQS, to which an addendum was issued in February 2003. Associated re vised LAQM Technical Guidance (LAQM.TG(03))<sup>4</sup> and Policy Guidance (LAQM.PG(03)) <sup>5</sup>wereissuedonbehalfofDEFRAin January 2003. This guidance set the framework for t he requirements of review and assessment for future years, taking account of expe riences from the previous rounds of reviewandassessment. This current framework forr eviewandassessmentbeginswithan Updating and Screening Assessment (USA) that consid ers the likelihood of all the AQS objectives being achieved across the Local Authorit v's administrative area. If the USA identifiesthatanAQSobjectivemaynotbemet,th entheLocalAuthoritymustproceedtoa Detailed Assessment for that pollutant. If the resu Its of the Detailed Assessment confirm that,anAQSobjectiveisunlikelytobemettheya rerequiredtodeclareanAQMA.

Having declared an AQMA the authority is required to confirm the findings of the Detailed Assessment work through further monitoring or model ling assessments. This Further Assessment should provide information on the source -apportionment of the pollutant emissions in order to identify the level of polluta nt reduction required for the attainment of relevant air quality objectives. Additionally, cons ideration should be made to evaluating local management practices that could be used to im prove air quality, and feed into the formulationofan Action Plan.

The Second Round of Review and Assessment (2003-200 5) provided an opportunity for local authorities to update the findings of their f irst round of review and assessment. In doing so, local authorities were to take into consi revised Technical Guidance (LAQM.TG(03)), new emiss proposed planning developments due to take place be targetdate.

Additional guidance was provided in the form of FAQ 2006 to assist with Third Round of Review and Asses revised modelled background concentration maps for year calculation tools and updates on the assessmen poultry farms). In addition, in 2007, anew NO x: NO 2

AQ sandupdatedLAQMtoolsinJanuary Asses sment (2006-2008). This included NO<sub>X</sub>, NO <sub>2</sub> and PM <sub>10</sub>, updated future t of specific sources (rail, shipping, x:NO <sub>2</sub> calculatorhasbeenprovided.

StationeryOffice

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>DoE(1997)TheUnitedKingdomNationAirQualityS <sup>4</sup>Defra(2003)TechnicalGuidanceLAQM.TG(03),Part

S trategyTheStationeryOffice

IVoftheEnvironmentAct1995,LocalAirQualityM anagement,The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Defra(2003)PolicyGuidanceLAQM.PG(03),PartIV StationeryOffice

 $of the {\tt Environment} Act 1995, {\tt Local} {\tt AirQuality} {\tt Mana} \qquad {\tt gement}, {\tt The}$ 



At the time of writing the Review and Assessment pr ocess has culminated in the declaration of over 200 separate AQMAs across the U K. The results have shown that it is roadtrafficemissions that are the main cause of e AQS. Namely, it is fine particulates (PM 10) and nitrogen dioxide (NO 2) that are the pollutants of most concern. Whilst other pollutants such as carbon monoxide (CO) and the latest national perspective on the occurrence of each of these pollutants suggests tha roadside locations across the UK.

# 1.3 SummaryoftheReviewandAssessmentbyMidBed sDistrictCouncil

The conclusions of the first round of local air qua in 1998, were that all air quality objectives were

lityreviewandassessment, commencing expected to be met.

Following the outcome of the second round Updating May 2003, MidBeds District Council under took a Det

andScreeningAssessment(USA)in ailedAssessmentin2004for:

- Nitrogendioxideandparticulatematter(PM 10) around the Sandyround about on the A1 due to emissions from trafficand;
- Stewartbybrickworksduetohighsulphurdioxideco ncentrations.

This resulted in an AirQualityManagementArea(AQ 2005 for a reas in the vicinity of the Stewartby bri the 15 minute objective for this pollutant. Therew the A1S and yround about at that time.

MA) being declared on the 7th March ckworks that were predicted not to meet as no requirement to declare an AQMA at

The third round USA concluded that all objectives w ould be met outside the Stewartby AQMA. A recommendation was made to continue to moni tor nitrogen dioxide levels at the A1Sandyroundabout.

The Annual Progress Report (APR) for 2007 considere d monitoring data for 2006. The conclusions of the APR were that the nitrogen dioxi be met at the nearest receptors to the A1 in the vi B1042 and A603. Bureau Veritas HS&E has been commis sioned by Mid Beds District Counciltoprovide adetailed assessment of airqua

# 1.4 ScopeandMethodologyoftheDetailedAssessmen t

The scope of this assessment is to predict NO 2 and PM 10 concentrations at relevant receptorlocationsalongtheA1,neartheA1Sandy junction with the B1042 and A603. Mid Beds identified in the 2007 Annual Progress Report as having potential exceedences of NO2 and PM 10 objectives. The purpose of the detailed assessment is to provide the local authority with an opportunity to supplement the inf ormation they have gathered in their earlier review and assessment work and more accurat ely assess the impact of pollution sources on local receptors at identified hotspots t hrough detailed dispersion modelling. Dispersion modelling can be used to predicted conce ntrations over a wider area than can be monitored. It is important to ensure, as far as possible, that the results of modelling reflect the results from local monitoring sites acr oss the assessment area and allow comparison of pollutant concentrations against the AQS objectives. This Detailed Assessment will identify with reasonable certainty whether or not pollutant concentrations are likely to exceed the AQS objectives and, if so, define the extent and magnitude of the exceedences.



Detailed dispersion modelling has been undertaken u sing the Cambridge Environmental ResearchConsultants(CERC)ADMS-Roads2.3dispersi on model using the latest vehicle emission factors released in 2002 <sup>6</sup>.

Concentrations of NO <sub>2</sub> and PM <sub>10</sub>, measured at continuous monitoring and diffusion t ubes locationswithintheassessmentareasin2007have beenusedtoverifythemodelresults.

# 2 BaselineInformation

# 2.1 TrafficData

Mid Beds District Council and Bedfordshire County C average daily traffic flow (AADT) data used in this undertaken at the junction in April 2008, which inc 2008AADT data has been projected to 2007 and 2010 and NRTF <sup>8</sup>(National Road Traffic Forecasts) adjusted for the Mid Beds District Council and Bedford shire County C assessment. A new count was uded turning count movements. The using growth factors from Tempro<sup>7</sup>

Wherespeeddatahasnotbeenmadeavailable, speed shavebeenbasedonspeedlimits, modified according to local conditions to take acco unt of congestion and stop/start vehicle junctions to 20kph to reflect the higheremissions of queuing traffic.

TheAADT(AnnualAverageDailyTraffic)data, speed 2010areshowninTable2-1.

sandvehiclesplitfor2007,2008and

Location	Direction	Year	AADT 24Hour flow	Mean Speed (kph)	% HGV	2007 AADT	2008 AADT	2010 AADT
A1northofroundabout N	orthbound 20	08	17141	80	11.2	17021	17141	17381
A1northofroundabout S	outhbound 20	08	18921	80	10.8	18789	18921	19186
A1southofroundabout	Northbound	2008	17675	80	10.9	17551	17675	17922
A1southofroundabout	Southbound	2008	21425	80	10.6	21275	21425	21725
B1042eastof roundabout	Eastbound	2008	7055	48	3.5	6963	7055	238
B1042eastof roundabout	Westbound	2008	6198	48	4.3	6117	6198 6	359
A603westof roundabout	Eastbound	2008	8158	48	6.6	8052	8158 8	370
A603westof roundabout	Westbound	2008	5341	48	6.3	5271	5341 క	480

#### Table2-1-AADTandvehiclesplitonrelevantroad

#### sfor2007,2008and2010

<sup>7</sup>Tempro(TripEndModelPresentationProgram)versi on5.0,datas

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>ReleasedbyNETCENfortheNationalAtmosphericEm

issionsInventoryinconsultationwithTRL.

on5.0,datasetversion061005\_53,Departmentfor

Transport

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>DETR,NationalRoadTrafficForecasts(GreatBrita in)1997



# 2.2 AirQualityMonitoring

There is currently continuous monitoring of nitroge n dioxide undertaken by Mid Beds DistrictCouncilatonelocationintheA1Sandvar ea.TheA1SandvRoadsidesiteispartof the Herts and Beds air quality monitoring network a nd monitors NO 2 and PM 10 concentrations. NO 2 concentrations are measured using a chemiluminesce ntanalyserand PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations are measured using a TEOM analyser ( NB.PM 10 data is reported as gravimetric equivalent). The Council calibrates the siteseverytwoweeksandthenetwork managers Kings College ERG ratify the data. The Qua lity Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) procedures for the Herts and Beds network a re equivalent to the UK Automatic UrbanandRuralNetwork(AURN)procedures.Therear etriplicateNO 2 diffusion tubes colocatedattheA1Sandysite,whichprovidesdataf orthebiasadjustmentofdiffusiontubes.

Table2.2	Continuousmonitoringresultsfor2006an	d2007inµg/m	3
----------	--------------------------------------	-------------	---

Location	×	~	Year	NO <sub>2</sub> AnnualMean	No. exceedences of hourly mean NO <sub>2</sub> >200µg/m <sup>3</sup>	%Datacapture	PM <sub>10</sub> AnnualMean	No. exceedences of 24 hour mean PM <sub>10</sub> >50µg/m <sup>3</sup>	%Datacapture
A1Sandy Roadside	516436	249599	2006	51	3	(75)	22	0 (6	)
A1Sandy Roadside	516436	249599	2007	38	0	(57)	25	9 97	7

\*DatafromFebruary2007isprovisionallyratified only.Datacapturelessthantherecommended90%s hownin brackets.

Outsidethecontinuousmonitoringnetwork, MidBeds at18NO <sub>2</sub>diffusiontubessitesin2007,9ofwhicharesite modelledareaandhavebeenusedformodelverifica and analysed by Gradko utilising the 20% Triethanol method. Gradkoparticipate in the Workplace Analysi NO<sub>2</sub>diffusiontube analysis and the Annual Field Inter strict performance criteria for participating labor ato concentrations reported are of a high calibre.

With regard to the application of a bias adjustment technical guidance LAQM.TG (03) and Review and Asse use of a local bias adjustment factor where availab Mid Beds District Council has triplicate co-located continuous monitoring station, but data capture has absence of a robust local co-location study, the Re factors for this laboratory methodology has been ut 20070.89.

The corrected data for local NO <sup>2</sup> diffusion tube monitoring sites in 2006 - 2007 in the assessment area are shown in Table 2-3. There are e objective in 2006 and 2007 at roadside sites in the A1 Sandy area. Monitoring data at

factor for the diffusion tubes, the se ssment Helpdesk <sup>9</sup> recommends le and relevant to diffusion tube sites. diffusion tubes at the A1 Sandy been low in 2006 and 2007. In the view and Assessment Helpdesk bias ilised. The bias in 2006 is 0.98 and in

DistrictCouncilundertookmonitoring ite swithinthedetailedassessment tion.Thediffusiontubesaresupplied of amine (TEA) in water preparation sSchemeforProficiency (WASP) for -ComparisonExercise.Theseprovide atories to meet, thereby ensuring NO 2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> www.uwe.ac.uk/aqm/review

relevant diffusion tube roadside and façade sites i areahavebeenusedformodelverificationpurposes

n the A1 Sandy modelled assessment (seeAppendix1).

Location	x	Y S	Sitetype	Annual Mean NO <sub>2</sub> 2006 in μg/m <sup>3</sup>	Annual Mean NO₂ 2007 in μg/m³
A1Sandy	516482	249212	Roadside	44.6	50.7
RoseLane,Biggleswade	519161	244651	Roadside	34.7	28.7
HighStreet, Biggleswade	518991	244596	Roadside	36.4	42.4
A1Beeston	517162	248188	Roadside	39.8	49.0
M1,Tingrith	501043	232825	Roadside	29.6	27.2
StationRoadA1, Tempsford	516277	253855	Roadside	47.2	53.9
BedfordRoad,Sandy	516619	249100	Roadside	40.3	40.2
HighfieldCrescent, Brogborough	496330	238300	Roadside	41.3	47.0
WarrenFarm(M1)	500200	234519	Roadside	36.3	37.1
A1,HuntsCarCompany	516448	249685	Roadside	38.1	50.0
A1,HuntsCarCompany 2	516479	249704	Roadside	26.8	31.2
MarketSquare,Sandy	517310	249228	Roadside	31.2	28.2
A1SandyNOxAnalyser (triplicate)	516436	249599	Roadside	32.7	40.1
RuralBackground, Battlesden	495944	229121	Rural background	15.3	14.8
BedfordRoadSouth1, Sandy	516593	249083	Roadside	37.2	42.3
BedfordRoadSouth2, Sandy	516569	249074	Roadside	48.3	49.7
EddiesCottage,Sandy	516579	249072	Façade	37.7	38.6
Doorway,Sandy	516582	249078	Façade	34.5	39.6

# Table2-3 NO 2DiffusionTubeMonitoringSiteResults2006-7

\*Sitesusedinverificationarehighlightedingre y.



# 2.3 BackgroundConcentrations

For the NO x/NO<sub>2</sub> and PM <sub>10</sub> assessment, background concentrations for 2007 hav ebeen derived from the monitored background concentration monitoring site at neighbouring North Herts Breachw monitoringnetwork.Concentrationsfor2010havebe most recently released LAQM.TG (03) calculator. The background NO <sub>2</sub> and NO <sub>x</sub> concentrationsfor2007,2008and2010areshownin

Table2-4–NO	<sub>2</sub> /NO <sub>x</sub> andPM	10 backgroundconcentrationsin	μ <b>g/m</b> ³
	= A		

Pollutant	2007	2008	2010
NO <sub>X</sub>	24.6	23.6	21.5
NO <sub>2</sub>	18.3	17.8 <sup>-</sup>	16.8
PM <sub>10</sub>	19.4	19.0 <sup>-</sup>	18.3



# 3 DispersionModellingMethodology

Detailed dispersion modelling of NO 2 was undertaken using the Cambridge Environmental ResearchConsultants(CERC)LtdADMS-Roadsadvanced Gaussianairdispersionmodel. ADMS-Roadscanmodelupto150roadsourcesand7i ndustrialsourcesatanyonetime. The model is used extensively in local air quality management, and has formed the basis for many AQMA declarations. A considerable number o f validation studies have been completed, showing overall excellent agreement betw eenmodeloutputsandobservations at continuous monitoring sites. ADMS-Roads has inte grated modules to take into the accounttheeffectsofstreetcanyonsandplumeche mistry. Details of the model inputs are providedbelow.

# 3.1 MeteorologicalData

The meteorological data for 2007 used is from Bedfo the Bedford weather station data is given below. It is southwesterly.

rdweatherstation. The windrose for shows that the dominant wind direction



# Figure3-1–Windrosefor2007Bedfordmeteorologi caldata

MidBedsDistrictCouncil LAQMDetailedAssessment ReportRef:ADIX0562/BV/AQ



# 3.2 ModelSetup

Figure 3-2 shows the location of roads, receptors a assessment.

nd monitoring sites modelled in the



Figure3-2–Roads, receptors and monitoring sites modelled

- Receptor
- Diffusiontube
- ContinuousAnalyser
- \_\_\_\_ Roadsmodelledintheassessment

# 3.3 EmissionsFactors

The emissions factors incorporated into ADMS-Roads wereusedtocalculatetheNO <sub>x</sub>and PM<sub>10</sub>emissionsforeachroadlinkintheassessment.Th eseemissionfactorsarethemost up-to-date emission factors available. These factor s, released in 2002 by Defra and Department for Transport (D fT), are the same as those calculated with the Emiss Factors Toolkit <sup>10</sup> and the DMRB <sup>11</sup> widely used throughout the UK. The emissions fact ion ors areavailableforthreedifferentroadtypeswhich actasaproxyforthedifferencesinfleet composition of traffic in different conditions; urb an, rural and motorway. For this assessmenturbanwasselectedtorepresentthetype ofroad.

<sup>10</sup>EmissionFactorToolkitdevelopedbyCasellaStang

erforDefra.http://www.casellastanger.com/JointPr ojects/default.asp ction3,Part1AirQuality.TheHighwaysAgency,F ebruary2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>DesignManualforRoadsandBridges,Volume11,Se



For the primary NO  $_2$  emissions, the default value in the model is 10%. However, many recent studies have pointed that the proportion of primary NO 2 might be significantly higher<sup>12</sup>. The AQEG (Air Quality Expert Group) report <sup>13</sup> analyses why the recent drops in annual mean NO x concentrations have not translated in the similar reduction of NO 2 concentrations. The report concludes that monitor in gandmodellingresultssuggestthatthe proportion of primary NO <sub>2</sub> is higher than 10%, currently used in the model. F or this <sup>2</sup>concentrations, NO <sub>x</sub>model output assessment, rather than using predicted modelled NO x:NO<sub>2</sub>calculatorprovidedbyDefra. hasbeenconvertedtoNO 2usingthelatest2007NO

# 3.4 BackgroundConcentrations

Background concentrations, as described in Section 2.3, have been incorporated into the model.

# 3.5 ModelInputParameters

Atmospheric chemical reactions have been incorporat reactionschememoduleofADMS-Roads2.3wasselect Monin-Obukhov length of 10m was selected to represe due to the characteristics of the local area. Them abovegroundlevelabovewhichverticalturbulence of0.5wasassigned inthemodelforthearea.

# 3.6 ModelOutput

The ADMS-Roads dispersion model produces modelled c on centrations of NO  $_{\rm X}$  and PM  $_{10}$  at specific receptors, identified for the prediction of air quality impacts.

# 3.7 ModelVerification

The model has been used to predict concentrations o  $f PM_{10}$  at the A1 Sandy continuous monitoring location and NO  $_2$  at the continuous monitoring and diffusion tube mon itoring locations in the area assessed, in order to verify the model against monitored concentrations. The following are the main objective esofthemodel verification:

- toevaluatemodelperformance,
- toshowthatthebaselineiswellestablishedand
- toprovideconfidenceintheassessmentresults

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>CarslawDC *etal* .AtmosphericEnvironment,39(2005)167-177.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Defra(2007).AQEGReport'TrendsinPrimaryNitro genDioxideintheUK'



Table 3-1 compares the modelled and monitored annua I mean NO <sub>2</sub> and PM <sub>10</sub> concentrationsfor2007.Thefullverificationproc edureisshowninAppendix1.

# Table3-1-Comparison of corrected modelled and mo nitored 2007 annual mean NO $_2$ and PM $_{10}$ concentrations, $\mu g/m^3$

Monitoringsite	Loca	ation	Monitored NO <sub>2</sub> ,µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Modelled NO <sub>2</sub> ,	Difference Modelled/ Monitored NO <sub>2</sub>	
	X	Y	2007	2007		
A1Sandy	516478	249212	50.7	50.2	-1.1%	
BedfordRoad,Sandy	516619	249100	40.2	36.7	-8.8%	
A1,HuntsCarCompany	516448	249685	50.0	48.5	-2.9%	
A1,HuntsCarCompany2 5	516479	249704	31.2	34.5	10.5%	
A1SandyNOxAnalyser(triplicate) 5	516436	249599	40.1	43.5	8.6%	
BedfordRoadSouth1,Sandy 5	6593	249083	42.3	40.9	-3.3%	
BedfordRoadSouth2,Sandy 5	516569	249074	49.7	46.8	-5.9%	
EddiesCottage,Sandy	516579	249072	38.6	41.5	7.6%	
Doorway,Sandy	516582	249078	39.6	42.1	6.4%	
Monitoringsite	x	Y	Monitored PM <sub>10</sub> ,µg/m <sup>3</sup> 2007	Modelled PM <sub>10</sub> , μg/m <sup>3</sup> 2007	Difference Modelled/ Monitored PM <sub>10</sub>	
A1SandyTEOMPM 10 Analyser	516436	249599	25	25	0%	

During the verification process, Bureau Veritas aim for all final modelled NO <sup>2</sup> concentrations to be within 25% of the monitored NO <sup>2</sup> concentrations. The comparison of the monitored and modelled data shows reasonable ag reement between the datasets. Where discrepancies doexist, the second bedueto avariety of reasons, for example:

- Uncertaintyintrafficdata(flows,speedsorfleet composition)
- Modelsetup(roadwidths,elevationsandreceptor locations)
- Modellimitations(treatmentofroughnessandmeteo rologicaldata)
- Uncertaintyinmonitoringdata, such as use of diffusion tubes (notably where singly located) and application of bias adjustment factor for diffusion tubes
- Uncertaintyinbackgroundconcentrations



# 4 Results

Annualaverage concentrations for NO 2 and PM 10 are predicted using the ADMS-Roads 2.3 model at relevant receptors for the baseline year 2 007, 2008 and 2010. The results are shown in Appendices 2 and 3.

Receptorshavebeenselected at the façades of buil dings near the modelled road links. The selected receptors represent locations with relevant texposure for the NO 2 and PM 10 objectives. All predicted results are produced using the methodology described in Section 3 of this report. The predicted NO 2 and PM 10 concentrations at specific receptors for 2007-2010 and are shown in Appendix 2.

The predicted concentrations for NO <sub>2</sub> at modelled receptors in the Sandy area show the AQSobjectivetobeexceededinallmodelledyears, 2007-2010, along the A1 and at the junctionwiththeA603andB1042.Theroadsidediff usiontubemonitoringalongtheA1and neartheA1Sandyroundabout,whichshowsexceedenc esoftheannualmeanobjectivein 2006 and 2007, supports this, although the two faca de based diffusion tubes receptors adjacenttotheroundaboutaremarginallybelowthe annualmeanobjective.Thepredicted concentrations for 2010 are systematically lower th an in previous years, due to expected reductions in background concentrations and improve ments in vehicle emissions through implementation of national policies. By 2010, the a rea of exceedence is predicted to be limitedtoasmallnumberofreceptorsimmediately adjacenttotheA1inSandy.

The contours of predicted 2007 annual mean NO <sup>2</sup> concentrations in the vicinity of the A1 Sandy are shown in Appendix 3. The contour map disp annual mean objective is predicted to exceed and pr AQMAshouldbelocated.

The predicted concentrations for PM  $_{10}$  at modelled receptors in the Sandy area show the AQS objective to be met in all modelled years, 2007 -2010, along the A1 and at the junction with the A603 and B1042.



# **5** ConclusionsandRecommendations

Adetailed assessment has been carried outfor the in the 2007 Annual Progress Report of Mid Beds Dist of exceedence of the NO  $_2$  and PM  $_{10}$  objectives. NO  $_2$  and PM  $_{10}$  objectives. NO  $_2$  and PM  $_{10}$  concentrations have been at Sandy, in particular adjacent to the junction with the B1042 and A603.

Themodelresultshavebeenverified against continuous monitoring data for PM 10 and NO 2 diffusion tubes it eswithin the vicinity of the model elledroad links.

Themodelresultssuggestthattheannualmeanobje ctiveforNO 2isnotlikelytobemetat theworst-case receptors in 2007 and subsequent yea are predicted to be metat modelled receptors in all lyears modelled.

# 5.1 Recommendations

Based on this detailed assessment and review of the recommendations are made for MidBeds District Coun cil: monitoring data the following

- Toconsider declaration of an Air Quality Managemen tArea in the vicinity of the A1 Sandy, on the basis of NO 2, where exceedences of the annual mean objective ar e predicted at relevant receptor locations;
- TocontinuemonitoringNO 2 and PM 10 atthecurrentmonitoringlocations in order to ensure that any future changes in air quality are d etected, notably locations representative of the relevant exposure, i.e., at the façade of residential properties.

MidBedsDistrictCouncil LAQMDetailedAssessment ReportRef:ADIX0562/BV/AQ



# 6 APPENDICES

# APPENDIX1 ModelVerification(2007)

SiteLocation	MonitorType	SiteType	MonitoredTotal NO <sub>2</sub>	MonitoredTotal Nox	BackgroundNO 2	BackgroundNox	Monitored RoadsideNO <sub>2</sub>	MonitoredRoads NOx	ModelledRoad ContributionNox	NO <sub>x</sub> Roads Correctionfactor	Corrected ModelledRoad Contribution NOx**	CorrectedTotal NOx	ModelledRoad NO <sub>2</sub>	ModelledTotal NO <sub>2</sub>	Percentage Difference Modelled/ MonitoredNO <sub>2</sub>
A1Sandy	DT	R	50.7	146.2	18.3	24.6	32.4 12	1.6	50.9 2.	4 11	9.0	143.6	31.9	50.2	-1.1%
Bedford Road,Sandy	DT	R	40.2	98.9	18.3	24.6	21.9 7 <sup>.</sup>	4.3	25.7 2.	9 60	.2 84	.8	18.4	36.7	-8.8%
A1,Hunts CarCompany	DT	R	50	142.8	18.3	24.6	31.7 11	8.2	47.6 2.	5 11	1.3 1	35.9	30.2	48.5	-2.9%
A1,Hunts CarCompany 2	DT	R	31.2	64.2	18.3	24.6	12.9 39	9.6	22.1 1.	8 5 <sup>.</sup>	1.6 76	.2	16.2	34.5	10.5%
A1Sandy NOxAnalyser (triplicate)	DT	R	40.1	98.5	18.3	24.6	21.8 73	3.9	37.9 2.	0 88	3.6 11	3.2	25.2	43.5	8.6%
BedfordRoad South1, Sandy	DT	R	42.3	107.8	18.3	24.6	24 83	3.2	33.1 2.	5 7	7.4 102	.0	22.6	40.9	-3.3%
BedfordRoad South2, Sandy	DT	R	49.7	141.4	18.3	24.6	31.4 11	6.8	44.1 2.	7 10	3.2	127.8	28.5	46.8	-5.9%
Eddies Cottage, Sandy	DT	F	38.6	92.4	18.3	24.6	20.3 6	7.8	34.2 2.	0 80	0.0 10	4.6	23.2	41.5	7.6%
Doorway, Sandy	DT	F	39.6	96.4	18.3	24.6	21.3 7 <sup>°</sup>	1.8	35.3 2.	0 82	2.5 10	7.1	23.8	42.1	6.4%

DT=Diffusiontube;R=Roadside;F=Façade.\*\*Regress ion(RoadsNO  $_{X}$ )CorrectionFactor=2.3.RMSE(  $\mu g/m^3$ )=2.64.



# **PM<sub>10</sub>ModelVerification**

SandyTEOM Analyser	Receptor name						
516436	X(m)						
249599	Y(m)						
20.4	$\begin{array}{c} \text{ModelledPM}_{10} \\ \text{in } \mu\text{g/m}^3 \end{array}$						
1.0	PM <sub>10</sub> Modelled Road contribution						
25.0	Monitored PM <sub>10</sub> in μg/m <sup>3</sup>						
5.6	Monitored road contribution						
5.5	Roads correction factor						
5.6	Corrected roadsPM 10						
25.0	Correctedtotal PM <sub>10</sub>						
19.4	Background $PM_{10}in \ \mu g/m^3$						



# APPENDIX2 ADMS-RoadsModelledResultsinµg/m

<sup>3</sup> atSpecificReceptorLocations

2007ModelledAnnualMeanNO <sub>2</sub>inµg/m <sup>3</sup>



©Crowncopyright.Allrightsreserved.LicenceNo.100 019740,2008.



2008ModelledAnnualMeanNO \_2inµg/m 3



©Crowncopyright.Allrightsreserved.LicenceNo.100 019740,2008.



# 2010ModelledAnnualMeanNO \_2inµg/m <sup>3</sup>



Crowncopyright.Allrightsreserved.LicenceNo.10001 9740,2008.



2007ModelledAnnualMeanPM <sub>10</sub>inµg/m <sup>3</sup>



©Crowncopyright.Allrightsreserved.LicenceNo.100 019740,2008.



2008ModelledAnnualMeanPM \_\_\_\_\_10inµg/m <sup>3</sup>



©Crowncopyright.Allrightsreserved.LicenceNo.100 019740,2008.



2010ModelledAnnualMeanPM \_\_\_\_\_\_10inµg/m <sup>3</sup>



©Crowncopyright.Allrightsreserved.LicenceNo.100 019740,2008.



2007ModelledNumberofExceedencesof24HourMean PM 10inµg/m <sup>3</sup>



©Crowncopyright.Allrightsreserved.LicenceNo.100 019740,2008.





©Crowncopyright.Allrightsreserved.LicenceNo.100 019740,2008.





©Crowncopyright.Allrightsreserved.LicenceNo.100 019740,2008.



# Resultsinµg/m<sup>3</sup> **APPENDIX3** MappedContoursofADMS-RoadsModelled 2007ModelledAnnualMeanNO 2inµg/m <sup>3</sup> Ð NO2 Annual Mean in micrograms per m3 36 40 37*16*, " 62

©Crowncopyright.Allrightsreserved.LicenceNo.100 019740,2008.